

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 29

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte JAGANNADHA K. SASTRY,  
RALPH B. ARLINGHAUS,  
CHRIS D. PLATSOUCAS, and  
PRAMOD N. NEHETE

Appeal No. 2001-1861  
Application No. 08/869,386

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

**MAILED**

**JUN 24 2002**

**PAT. & T.M. OFFICE  
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES**

On June 19, 2002, we received a REQUEST FOR REMAND AND RECONSIDERATION (Paper No. 27) and a DECLARATION OF PRAMOD N. NEHETE (Paper No. 28) involving the above appeal. Both papers need to be considered by the examiner.

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is remanded to the examiner for consideration and proper written response to Paper Nos. 27 and 28, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

Appeal No. 2002-1172  
Application 09/228,879

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES



---

Dale Shaw  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(703) 308-9797

David L. Parker  
Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP  
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2400  
Austin, TX 78735

dem